

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ·	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,229	03/08/2001	Michaelj D. Albright	P5786	6676	
75	90 01/21/2005	•	EXAM	INER	
B. Noel Kivlin			CHANG, ERIC		
Meyertons, Hoo	od, Kivlin, Kowert & Goe	tzel, P.C.	<u></u>		
P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78	Austin, TX 78767-0398		2116		
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/802,229	ALBRIGHT ET AL.				
Advisory Addish	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Eric Chang	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		·				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· _				
10. Other:		95	<u> </u>			
	su	LYNNE H. BROY PERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	FYAMINED			

0

0

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations, inter alia, "a plurality of component-specific data collectors" with r spect to determining component information as recited in Claim 1, require further consideration/search.

Applicant is reminded of the provisions of MPEP § 714.12 which states in pertinent part the following:

Once a final rejection that is not prematur has b en entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution.

The prosecution of an application before the examiner should ordinarily be concluded with the final action.

Applicant is additionally reminded of MPEP § 714.13 which states in pertinent part the following:

ENTRY NOT A MATTER OF RIGHT

0

It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims.

Except where an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some other way requir s only a cursory review by the examiner, compliance with the requirement of a showing under 37 CFR 1.116(c) is expected in all amendments after final rejection.

Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d), if appropriate. See MPEP § 201.06(d). CPA practice does not apply to utility or plant applications if the prior application has a filing date on or after May 29, 2000. See MPEP §706.07(h), paragraphs I and IV.